UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/599,451	07/18/2007	Domenico Fanara	06-796	9142	
	7590 05/11/200 BOEHNEN HULBER	EXAMINER			
300 S. WACKE 32ND FLOOR	ER DRIVE	THOMAS, TIMOTHY P			
CHICAGO, IL	60606		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1614		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/11/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/599,451	FANARA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
TIMOTHY P. THOMAS	1614		

		TIMOTTI F. ITIOMAS	1014	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REP	LY FILED <u>24 April 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
appl appl	reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on ication, applicant must timely file one of the following rication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Cods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) 🔲	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
, _	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Anno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire last Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE f).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FI	on. LED WITHIN TWO
have been under 37 C set forth in may reduce	of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext FR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). DF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of the corresponding amount of the corresponding and the corresponding than three months after the mailing date.	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2.	Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	s of the date of
	the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exterce of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi <u>ENTS</u>			e appeal. Since a
	proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b			cause
	They raise new issues that would require further cor	•	ΓE below);	
` ' =	☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bethe appeal; and/or ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bethe ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bethe ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bethe ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bethe ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bethe ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bethe ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bethe ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bethe ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bethe ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bethe ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bethe ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in th	•	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d)[They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).		
4. 🔲 The	amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. 🔲 App	olicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
non-	wly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all allowable claim(s).			_
how The	purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) the new or amended claims would be rejected is provistatus of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		I be entered and an e	xplanation of
	m(s) allowed: m(s) objected to: <u>5</u> .			
	m(s) rejected to: <u>5</u> . m(s) rejected: <u>1,2,5,12 and 17</u> .			
	m(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>6-10,14,15 and 18</u>	<u>3-26</u> .		
	T OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
beca	affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but ause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
ente	affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing ared because the affidavit or other evidence failed to owing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10. 🔲 The	e affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation			
11. 🛛 Th	<u>T FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</u> e request for reconsideration has been considered but e Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. 🔲 No	te the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (ner:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Ardin I	Marschel/	/Timothy D Thomas/		
	sory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1614	/Timothy P Thomas/ Examiner, Art Unit 1614		

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the limitation of the amount of levocetirizine to less than 1 mg/mL is a new issue that requires further consideration and search.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The rejections and objections of record are maintained for the reasons of record.

Applicants argue a series of arguments based on the claim amendment, which are not relevant, since the claim amendment has not been entered.

With respect to the rejection under 35 USC 103, applicant argues that the lowest completely antibacterial concentration of the combination MP+PP disclosed by Doron is >1.5 mg/mL, the lower concentrations tested being reported to have bacterial growth; that Doron teaches that a complete antibacterial effect of a combination of parabens is not always achieved and antivacterial efficacy of parabens is weaker against planktonic bacteria compared to immobilized bacteria; therefore it is unexpected and nonobvious that compositions according to the claims would have such antibacterial efficacy; unexpected efficacy is disclosed in Tables 15-20 of Example 4 of the present application, which have total paraben concentrations from 0.375 to 1.125 mg/mL and [MP]:[PP] = 9 are free of three bacteria types at 14 and 28 days following inoculation with these bacteria. The unexpected data is noted. However, the unexpected concentrations are not commensurate in scope with the claimed amounts, which range from greater than 0 to less than 1.5 mg/mL, in the independent claim, and only slightly narrowed in claim 5. Therefore the rejection is maintained for embodiments outside of the range for which unexpected results have been demonstrated.